American International Journal of Business Management (AIJBM)
ISSN- 2379-106X, www.aijbm.com Volume 07, Issue 10 (October- 2024), PP 91-99

Learning Evaluation of Technology Savvy Students Who Are Using Artificial Intelligent Tools

Courtney Jane[1], Bhaskar Sinha[2], Douglas P. Roberts[3]
[1]*Applied Higher Ed Solutions, Miami, Florida, USA*
[2]*National University, San Diego, California, USA*
[3]*Norco, California, USA*
**Corresponding Author: Bhaskar Sinha[2]*

ABSTRACT – *Artificial Intelligence (AI) technology has ushered in a significant transformation in thinking in the 21st century, and its applications in the realm of academia are transforming the ways we teach, learn, and evaluate learning, leading to changes across all aspects of education. This research explores the important and complicated impact of AI in academia, emphasizing its capability to augment the evaluation of student learning. In 2023, ChatGPT took the world by storm and professionals in higher education quickly took note. Professors quickly realized that students can flawlessly use ChatGPT to complete their work on learning assessments. With AI tools, students can access solutions to assessment forms including questions involving short-answers, multiple-choice, true/false problems, matching problems, mathematics questions, programming tasks, essay composing, and presentation content designs. This paper looks at online learning, both asynchronous and synchronous, and the authors pose the question of not "how to stop cheating?" but rather, "forward facing, how can academia best assess learning in an online environment given the advent of AI?" The authors suggest that to mitigate cheating with AI, we must rethink how to assess student learning, incorporating alternative assessment methods for measuring student comprehension. The goal being to ensure that students understand the subject and are developing critical-thinking and problem-solving skills.*

KEYWORDS – Artificial Intelligence, ChatGPT, Global Academic Collaboration., Large Language Model, Learning Evaluation, Natural Language Processing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Effective use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools like ChatGPT are turning out to be an expertise that is highly valued and needed in industry, impacting workforce demands. These technologies are here to stay and will grow rapidly. Given that ChatGPT is often required at work, academia must adapt accordingly to incorporate AI into classroom learning. Subsequently, testing and evaluation of learning in higher education must adjust and adapt for students to succeed [1]. Banning the use of ChatGPT in classrooms may not work in the long-run and has the potential of creating a partition between students who have become proficient in their ability to utilize its capabilities and those who are still lagging behind. The integration of AI into educational settings has brought about transformative changes in the way students learn subjects and educators guide them in this learning journey. With AI-driven tools and platforms becoming increasingly predominant and widespread, it is essential to establish effective and accurate methods for evaluating learning outcomes in this AI effected academic environment. Assessing learning when students are using AI tools requires a thoughtful and comprehensive approach that integrates traditional assessment methods with AI-driven analytics. By establishing clear learning objectives, leveraging AI tools for feedback and support, promoting metacognitive skills, and addressing ethical considerations, educators can effectively evaluate student learning in AI-enhanced environments. The ultimate goal is to empower students to become active, self-regulated learners who can thrive in an increasingly AI-driven world. Learning evaluation of AI savvy students involves assessing their proficiency in understanding the subject concepts, given that they are familiar with the use of AI tools. In this paper, key aspects of evaluating the learning progress of students who are adept in AI are studied. The term "artificial intelligent savvy students" refers to individuals who have a clear understanding of AI principles, algorithms, and applications.

Students need to be prepared for the 21st century workforce demands, and academia is required to take an all-embracing and far-reaching approach to deliver. The plan must be agile to adjust for the ever-changing technical advancements, like the AI platforms. To achieve this, the federal government also may require high school curriculums to assess AI proficiency within their existing arts, mathematics, and technical courses. This approach will motivate academic administrators, developers, and subject matter experts to redesign their curriculum and syllabus standards, which will be effective and impact student's learnings every day.

Responsible agencies and entities, at the state level, must take the lead in incorporating AI technologies and platforms into their teaching models. As a parallel effort, training for teachers and program developers is critical, and investing in these areas to create effective learning environments and relevant curriculum materials will be a significant value-add going forward. U.S. Government support for these projects, to include financial incentives and support, for these projects is highly recommended.

Large Language Models (LLMs) are the fundamental basis for most AI concepts. This paper examines the role of LLM in the education space and the opportunity of using it as learning tools to make transformative changes in this field. This is despite their underlying risks and limitations characteristics. Literature documents many approaches for using AI tools and platforms in classroom environments – both onsite and online, as a tutor, coach, mentor, teammate, collaborator, as a tool, as a simulator, and also in the role of an AI-student, each with individual benefits and risks in the learning process. These come with their inherent and distinct scholastic values and dangers to the student. The overarching objective is to help learners understand AI features and be able to use available tools to further their education. Students must learn the ability to use and apply practical strategies designed to minimize risks inherent in AI tools such as complacency about the AI generated outputs, AI output, errors, and, most important, AI biases. This will significantly improve their educational journey and develop their self-learning capabilities. These approaches promote critical assessment of AI productions with the students' distinctive and individualized perceptions [2]. By expecting students to function as a human team-player during the collaboration with AI tools, the authors aim to enhance learning results while ensuring that the available AI platforms and tools serve as a supportive collaborator and not as a complete replacement of human unique capabilities. The proposed framework is a best-practices guide for educators evaluating and steering through the integration of AI-assisted learning in teaching-learning settings.

II. RETHINKING ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING

This research investigates and assesses the transformative role of AI in education and their ability to assist the students as learning instruments, in spite of their inbuilt risks and shortcomings. Literature has suggested many approaches for using AI tools and platforms in classroom environments – both onsite and online: AI as a tutor, coach, mentor, teammate, collaborator, as a tool, as a simulator, and also in the role of a AI-student, each with individual benefits and risks in the educational process. The objective is to simply help students learn the use of AI tools to augment their learning and also for them to understand the capabilities of AI, with concrete and reasonable plans designed to minimize risks such as the AI's preferences, biases, and mistakes. These approaches encourage safeguarding against inaccuracies, critical valuation of AI outputs, and analysis of AI's capabilities, all with the distinctive insights of the student's knowledge and experiences. Students must be encouraged and taught to continue to remain 'the human' during the collaboration between the student and AI, as this will enhance the AI assisted learning further. The goal of the authors is to improve the student learning results while ensuring that AI plays the role of a collaborative support entity, rather than a replacement of the student's God-given human talents and capabilities. The suggested framework offers a guide for teachers steering through the process of integration of AI-assisted learning in onsite and online classrooms [2].

Given the advent of AI platforms and applications, universities and academic institutions are evaluating designs for assessments of student learnings in AI-assisted learning environments, by promoting fairness, and to ensure assessments that can capture student learning. This includes current thinking on assessment alternatives that can better measure learning outcomes. This is imperative and implementation may require changes to the delivery of on-line instructions to be more synchronous. Given the recognition that AI is here to stay, the authors support the efforts of online institutes of Higher Education that are looking to redesign assessments in a way that will help integrate AI in positive ways into the classroom but have assessments that can effectively assess what students have learned, while also promoting fairness. The following are re-design alternatives to consider:

The "PRE-Model": Cheating was prevalent before ChatGPT. In surveys of college students, between 75-80% report having cheated in high school [3-4]. And in the online world, cheating is verifiably prevalent. The majority of instructors replied to a 2020 survey by Wiley that they thought students were more likely to cheat in online courses relative to traditional onsite classes [5-6]. To mitigate cheating with AI, from the outset students (and faculty) need to clearly understand what constitutes cheating at their academic institution. The authors suggest a model they have created and termed the **"PRE-Model"** where:

P = POLICY: It is important for universities to develop a clear policy on what is cheating including the acceptable use of AI technology. By encouraging mindfulness and understanding, learners are more likely to maintain academic integrity. This policy should be communicated across multiple and frequent methods of teacher-student interactions, including syllabus, course outlines, orientation sessions, and online content modules.

R = REITERATE: Using a 1-2 Reiteration Method, the cheating/AI policy could be reiterated: (1) Before each and every assessment with students "signing" a pledge that they did not violate the policy. Before they take the assessment, they need to agree that they will not use unauthorized resources, cheat, or perform any other form of academic dishonesty throughout the assessment; (2) Upon submission, students, once again, confirm that they did not use unauthorized resources, cheat, or commit any other form of academic dishonesty on the assessment. This will serve as a repeated instantaneous reminder of how seriously the instructor views academic honesty in the course, and the implications of using unauthorized collaborative resources.

E = ENFORCEMENT: The true deterrent to cheating with AI is clear and consequential enforcement. Dr David Rettinger, Professor of Psychology and Director of Academic Integrity Programs at the University of Mary Washington, Fredericksburg, VA, states that if the enforcement approach is missing, then the instructor or the institution is letting down honest students, essentially indirectly saying to the student that the teacher and/or the institution does not care [7]. This, according to Rettinger, is unacceptable. The instructor should clearly articulate the expectations, put in place all means that are needed to identify cheating and a fair and firm policy to be enforced for responding to such plagiarism cases. Rettinger further added that honest students, those students who are working hard for those grades, must see a reason to feel like the institution supports and recognizes their efforts and all the hard work. Enforcement suggestions include, that the students are informed that if they are caught cheating/violating AI policy:

(1) **Oral Component:** They will be called and asked to do an oral assessment within 24 hours of any "perceived" cheating. Thus, students should be scheduled to join zoom meetings during the term for oral assessments.
(2) **Three Strikes and You are Out:** If they are caught cheating: (1) On the first instance of having cheated they will be given a warning; (2) on the second they fail the assessment with a zero; (3) on the third they fail the class.
(3) **Retroactive Consequences:** If, in the future, a truly full-proof AI detection tool comes out and they are retroactively discovered to have cheated, they will fail the class.

Staged and Scaffolding Assignments: Scaffolding as a means of assessment involves dividing the required student work into steps, requiring students to create a report for each step and submit it. These submissions are checked and remarked on by the teacher. Students work in later steps as per the review comments from an earlier step submission. Instructors should provide feedback protectively and gradually so that learners can include and integrate them into successive revised next submissions [8]. This creates a gradual learning process for the student. As an example, a final project may be divided into successive stages of a proposal, high-level design, detailed design, implementation, testing, report, and a presentation of the final product. This helps students manage and complete their workload in increments and avoids major work piling up towards the end. This also ensures that the students can demonstrate their incremental understandings in varied and consistent ways, and with feedback on their work-in-progress steps. Peer reviews may also be considered to extend the feedback space, and to enable varied viewpoints. Finally, and most important to mitigating AI submitted work, this process creates a consistent document trail throughout all steps that must be consistent, thus discouraging unapproved collaborations and cheating.

Multiple Drafts, Comparing Different Versions Q/A: This is a variation on scaffolding assignments. Requiring learners to submit multiple drafts of a paper enables learners to review their initial thoughts and, based on further research, an opportunity to update the essay. In addition, this approach ensures the students learn to revise their revisions based upon a possible different view and feedback from instructor and colleagues. A further effective variation is to require a combination of in-person and take-home assignments, so that a student's take-home work and the effort in-class may be compared to determine learning and also establish originality. An oral question-answer session on the content of the essay turned in will help establish individual effort, creativity, and assess learning [9].

Students Upload Work: Just like in mathematics where students are asked to show each step to the answer, assessments (including exams) may require students to show the editing history and drafts of their work to demonstrate their thought processes. This should include citations for where each step was pulled from [9]. Emphasize having students articulate the process they followed to get to answers, rather than just the answer itself [10]. Students may be required to use Google Drive to share their work. In this scenario, the University sets up a Google Drive that they own and share with students. Students author their paper in the drive which captures "Version History." Google Workspace describes Version History as "Google Drive maintains an automatic history of modifications, which allows users, and anyone given access to the document, to track file changes and content revisions. In the revision history, anyone with approved access can see what edits have been made." This can be used for documents, sheets, or slides [11]. Hence, the instructor can track all the versions going into the final version and can even require multiple drafts worked on over multiple days.

Live Verbal Synchronous On-line Assessments: Live verbal assessments require asynchronous schools to consider adding synchronous elements including in-class synchronous presentations. Students can be assigned to

give zoom presentations synchronously. "I am planning on going medieval on the students and going all the way back to oral exams," Christopher Bartel, a philosophy professor at Appalachian State University, said. "They can AI generate text all day long in their notes if they want, but if they have to be able to speak it, that's a different thing." [12] Though students might use Chat GPT to develop part of what they present, they will need to understand the material enough to effectively present it and answer questions from the professor and/or the cohort [9].

Replace Asynchronous Discussion Boards with Synchronous Discussions: The instructor provides a topic to the class related to the week's content and each student should provide input on the prompt in a live on-line synchronous discussion. This helps them to understand and prepare for the topic, and also be able to articulate their thoughts. Learning by discussion is a powerful learning tool and by having the students present in front of their peers and answering their questions, the instructor can accurately evaluate students' learning [8].

Verbal Exam on Submitted Assignments: Incorporate a verbal exam to follow the submission of a written essay. This is when the students discuss what they turned in [9].

Use of Visual and Interactive Elements: Multiple-choice, True/False, Matching, and other traditional types of questions have helped assessments and have proven to be effective. This may now be extended to include questions that have visual and interactional elements built in. Integrating visual features, such as graphs, audio-videos, images, diagrams, etc. into questions will help discourage cheating as using visual elements in current AI tools is not easy. This will help instructors determine originality and also will help prevent cheating and collaborating with AI tools. These types of questions will require students to understand, evaluate, interpret, visualize, and sometimes interact with a concept. These are challenging to replicable by AI platforms. Adding questions with visual interactions also will enhance the strength of the assessment process [9].

Project Based Assignments: Use of projects that are completed in steps are effective for assessments and also help learners learn. This requires students to proceed piece by piece in technical and science related courses like probability, statistics, mathematics, physics, chemistry, etc. Projects help instructors determine originality and are a powerful assessment means. Furthermore, these hands-on practical projects encourage students to apply their understanding and comprehension in a real-world scenario, making it harder for collaborating with AI to provide solutions. Fostering critical thinking skills and problem-solving abilities with project-based assignments helps learners understand concepts better, and also helps make the learning more permanent [9].

Personalized Assignments: Creating individualized assignments for students or categories of students, which are customized to student's unique background and professional experience significantly reduces plagiarism with AI tools and unauthorized collaboration. When assignments are personalized and closely related to their real life or professional experience, it makes them more interesting and challenging for students, and also finding pre-generated online content becomes more difficult [9].

Timed Exams: This feature is quite common in currently available online platforms. Setting realistic, and sometimes aggressive, time limits for exams and assignments is an effective way to reduce cheating with available AI tools and other unauthorized collaborations with humans, Google, YouTube videos, etc. With limited time, students find it challenging to rely on AI help for quick answers and ready solutions. Timed assessments persuade them to prepare beforehand and, during the exam, use the limited time to concentrate on understanding and applying the subject material. Use of some lockdown browsers during online tests also prevents students from cheating and using unauthorized approaches. Use of lockdown browsers for timed assessments is a software that locks down a computer to mitigate cheating during the online assessments [9]. In addition, cameras on students while taking assessments is also effective.

Social Knowledge Construction: The majority of the assignments in higher education today lack the opportunity for collaboration and to construct knowledge with others. Social Knowledge Construction enables students to deepen their understanding of the content via interactions with others. An example is an assignment that "encourages students to get feedback on their assignment, or to share what they learned from the assignment, with people outside the class." Learning through social knowledge construction increases relevance while at the same time reducing cheating" [13]. Interactions with others enhance the learner's understanding of the subject from different viewpoints. This social collaboration offers learners the opportunity to enhance their appreciation of the contents. All learning journeys have an associated social element which may involve reading content created by others, watching presentation and audio/video material designed and presentations by others, collaborating with others, and even observing colleagues and fellow workers. Unfortunately, the traditional academic assignments and other required student work invariably miss this opportunity for students to build important knowledge by pooling resources with others. This may take the form of group projects, but there are other innovative ways to design an assignment to include social teamwork constructs. Students should be encouraged to include others to participate in the assignment, and this includes using AI tools as peers. This also reduces the incentives to use unauthorized means like plagiarism or copy/paste online material. Encouraging students to learn by increasing their social reach and involvement constructs increases the significance and value-add of an assignment, and also reduces the incentives and instances of dishonest practices.

Debugging Work Samples: Providing work samples from AI for students to identify, explain, and then correct flaws or issues in the samples as part of their assignments encourages students to be critical and thorough. This is highly effective in technical programming classes where students get to review and debug an existing program and logically determine flaws and/or enhancements. This also helps students understand different programming styles. These activities promote and encourage collaboration and critical thinking and discourage technology and AI savvy students getting into AI plagiarism.

Open-Ended Assignments: Create assignments that are flexible and allow students to shape them according to personal interests and experiences. Students should be encouraged and expected to apply course concepts to an issue of their choice that they are passionate about or offering a selection of scenarios for students to choose from. Adjust assignments to enhance real-world applications that reflect the work students may do after leaving school. Include assignments that are deeply context-based, such as assignments situated in personal or workplaces, group work, experiential learning tasks, and/or in-class discussions.

Flipped Classes: The flipped classroom is an effective teaching and learning concept where the traditional notion of lectures in class and solving problems at home is reversed to learning through lectures at home and, with that preparation, the class time is spent on solving problems together. In this new model, students prepare to participate in class by watching videos of lessons of the subject, reading relevant articles, or practicing other tasks that are expected to take place during class time. This idea was originally designed for a combination of in-person instruction and homework but can be applied as effectively to online models. Flipped classes allow students to spend more of the limited instructor-led class time working on examples and applications of what they learned at their own speed and on their own outside class. AI collaboration is encouraged during learning the homework topics, thus the use of AI collaboration during class and during evaluations is reduced.

Expand Evaluation Scope: Construct open-book evaluations that require students to explain the logical step-by-step process followed to arrive at the answers, rather than just the answer itself. This is a powerful method to encourage students to reflect not only on the ending answer but also on the process to get there. This is particularly useful in engineering and technical classes where this process motivates students to focus on the logical and the sequential steps to arrive at the conclusion. This also reduces the opportunity of using unauthorized tools and material that leads to plagiarism.

AI Answers Compared to Students' Own: Students can be asked to generate AI answers and then examine the AI work for accuracy and assess strengths and weaknesses of the AI content created. They can identify gaps where more can be added and assess the credibility of cited sources [14]. In ETEC 511, Foundations of Educational Technology, Jennifer Jenson asks students to provide their own views and then compare and contrast it to ChatGPT's answers to the same questions [14].

Use AI and Reference It: Some professors are allowing students to use AI technology as long as they include a reference section containing the details of their usage. Students can be required to submit the AI outputs screenshots and describe how they built their work upon that [14].

In-Person Assessments, Handwritten Exams, and Comprehensive Exams: In person assessments require synchronous and asynchronous schools to consider adding in a residency requirement each term or a few times during the program. During the term students complete asynchronous writing assignments. At the end of the term, the students complete an in-person writing assignment (old school Blue Book style). With this, the student's outside-of-class writing can be compared to the writing submitted during the term leading to an adjustment of the final grade (up or down) [9]. In 2023, Dr Jane, one of the authors of this paper, also shared her suggestion that at the mid-way point and/or the end of the program, students come to a testing location for an in-person comp exam that they must pass in order to graduate.

III. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

One crucial aspect of learning evaluation of AI-savvy students is assessing their theoretical knowledge that includes understanding fundamental concepts. A comprehensive examination of theoretical knowledge involves testing students on their ability to explain these concepts, their applications, and, in technical subjects, the underlying mathematical principles. Practical application is equally important in evaluating AI-savvy students. Assessments should gauge their ability to implement algorithms, develop models, and solve real-world problems. Practical evaluations may involve coding exercises, projects, or case studies that may require students to apply AI techniques to analyse data, make predictions, or optimize processes. Furthermore, evaluating the ethical considerations in AI is crucial. AI-savvy students should demonstrate an understanding of ethical implications related to bias, privacy, transparency, and accountability in AI systems. Assessment methods may include essays, discussions, or presentations on ethical dilemmas in AI, requiring students to articulate their views and propose solutions. Collaboration and teamwork are integral components of student development. Assessments should include group projects or collaborative tasks that simulate real-world scenarios where professionals work together. This helps evaluate students' ability to communicate effectively, share ideas, and contribute to a team project. Continuous learning and staying updated with the latest developments in AI are

essential for AI-savvy students. Evaluation methods should encourage students to explore emerging trends, research papers, and advancements in the field. This can be achieved through assignments that require literature reviews, analysis of recent publications, or presentations on innovative AI topics. Feedback plays a crucial role in the learning process. In the context of AI-savvy students, providing constructive feedback on both theoretical understanding and practical implementations is essential. This helps students identify areas for improvement and encourages a growth mindset.

Assessment tools and technologies tailored for AI based education can enhance the evaluation process. Utilizing platforms that support AI model deployment, automated code assessment, and virtual labs can provide a more realistic and efficient evaluation of students' skills. The following are the assessment focus areas suggested in this paper:

Theoretical Knowledge Assessment:

Evaluating the theoretical knowledge of AI-savvy students is fundamental to understanding their grasp of core concepts. Assessments should cover such AI areas as LLM, and machine learning. Reference resources [15-16] such as "Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning" by Christopher M. Bishop and "Deep Learning" by Ian Goodfellow, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron Courville are useful bases as foundational sources for student learning evaluations.

Practical Application Evaluation:

Practical application assessments gauge students' ability to implement AI algorithms and solve real-world problems. Coding exercises, projects, or case studies are effective methods. Reference materials [17-18] like "Hands-On Machine Learning with Scikit-Learn, Keras, and TensorFlow" by Aurélien Géron provide practical understandings for learners. Platforms like Kaggle, which host data science competitions, can be used to assess students' application skills.

Ethical Considerations in AI:

Assessing students' understanding of ethical implications is crucial. References such as "AI Ethics" by Mark Coeckelbergh and "Artificial Intelligence: A Guide for Thinking Humans" by Melanie Mitchell [19-20] can guide discussions on bias, privacy, transparency, and accountability. Assignments, essays, or presentations on ethical dilemmas allow students to articulate their perspectives.

Collaboration and Teamwork:

Student projects and developments often involve teamwork and collaboration. Group projects or collaborative tasks can simulate real-world scenarios. "Building Machine Learning Powered Applications" by Emmanuel Ameisen and "Python Machine Learning" by Sebastian Raschka [21-22] offer insights into collaborative AI projects. Assessments in this area should focus on effective communication, idea sharing, and teamwork.

Continuous Learning:

Staying updated with the latest AI developments is essential. Assignments that require literature reviews, analysis of recent publications, or presentations on innovative topics promote continuous learning. Journals like "Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research" and conferences like NeurIPS provide reputable sources for students to explore [23].

Constructive Feedback:

Feedback is integral to the learning process. Constructive feedback on theoretical understanding and practical implementations helps students identify areas for improvement. References like "Effective Feedback Techniques in the Virtual Classroom" by Catherine Haras and "Feedback and Motor Skill Learning" by Tim Lee [24] highlight the importance of feedback in enhancing learning outcomes.

Technological Tools for Assessment:

Utilizing assessment tools and technologies tailored for AI education enhances the evaluation process. Platforms supporting AI model deployment, automated code assessment, and virtual labs provide realistic evaluations. References such as "Machine Learning Yearning" by Andrew Ng [25] emphasize the role of practical tools in AI education.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

This initial work has explored various strategies to assess the impact of AI on learning, ensuring that the benefits of AI technology are maximized while maintaining the integrity of educational evaluation. Given that students now have access to AI tools that are used as collaborators, this research proposal has investigated the new paradigm of assessing student learning methodologies. The traditional evaluation methods in online higher education have become outdated and ineffective, and the use of tests, quizzes, discussions, and exams is examined and enhanced to evaluate student learning fairly and accurately. The purpose of this paper was to identify factors that would enhance the student learning evaluation - especially of technology and AI savvy students. This evaluation of AI-savvy students should encompass theoretical knowledge, practical application, ethical considerations, collaboration skills, continuous learning, and constructive feedback. By adopting an

integrated approach to assessment, educators can ensure that AI-savvy students are well-prepared for the dynamic and evolving field of their concentration. Utilizing appropriate and relevant references ensures that the evaluation process aligns with established principles and best practices in the field of learning evaluation in education. The effect of AI in academia is the integration of AI into academic programs and includes how students are evaluated. This paradigm shift is ushering in a new era of knowledge evaluation. Its capacity to provide personalized learning experiences, evaluate learning, enhance research capabilities, assure quality, and facilitate global collaboration demonstrates the profound benefits it brings to the academic community. As the continued use of AI tools and platforms increase and its potential understood and utilized, it is crucial to accept parts of both sides between technology and human interaction, ensuring that AI complements and augments the educational experience rather than replacing it. In doing so, we can fully realize the changing power of AI in academia and enable a smarter future in education and research. This paper has focused on advancing student knowledge evaluation in academia by understanding and harnessing the benefits of AI, which has emerged as a transformative force in various fields, and academia is no exception. Its integration into educational institutions and research has brought about a plethora of benefits that are revolutionizing the ways students learn and are evaluated. In this research the authors are continuing to explore how AI is contributing positively to academia, from personalized learning experiences to enhanced evaluation techniques, and improved research capabilities. In conclusion, AI is fundamentally reshaping how students learn and how they can be evaluated. The potential for enhancing personalized learning experiences is immense. However, it also demands careful ethical consideration and responsible implementation to make sure that the advantages of incorporating AI in academia are maximized while minimizing the potential weaknesses. As AI continues to grow, its prominent role in influencing the education landscape of the future will only become more assertive, and its potential for positive change remains boundless.

V. CONTINUING RESEARCH

This research will, hopefully and effectively, launch a comprehensive investigation into new teaching-learning paradigms in an academic world that has been affected by AI. The principal next-step areas are the following:

Individualized Learning with AI: Traditional education uses a one-size-fits-all method, where all students follow the same pattern and progress at the same pace regardless of their individuality and their unique strengths and weaknesses. AI changes and improves this educational model by customizing educational content to the specific needs of each student. Machine learning algorithms analyse a student's performance and adapt the curriculum accordingly. This ensures that students receive the support and challenges they need, furthering a better understanding of the content.

AI Effect on "How Learning Happens": This initial effort focusses on some of the promising program inclusions, due to AI, which may encourage and motivate learners. These may include the time required for the learner to reflect on the topic and to think critically and logically about the topic. These need to be facilitated and the necessary environments created by educators, content providers and facilitators, thus assisting the learners to assume responsibility for their own learning. Herein lies a critical area of study in an online learning environment: how do we motivate learners to want to learn, versus want to achieve a goal, the goal being getting a degree.

Further Enhanced Techniques for Evaluating Student-Learning: Students today have access to many AI tools. The traditional mechanisms of evaluating and grading student submission are ineffective and mostly obsolete. Essays, reports, discussions, etc. can be created with AI easily and with little effort by the student. Tests, quizzes, and exams structures also require rethinking to evaluate student learning fairly and accurately. This research will create a new paradigm of assessing student learning methodologies.

Effective and Efficient Administrative Processes: AI has streamlined administrative tasks in educational institutions, reducing the burden on staff and faculty. Chatbots and virtual assistants manage inquiries from students and parents, improving response times and freeing up human resources for more complex tasks. Additionally, AI-driven data analytics assist in optimizing resource allocation, helping institutions make informed decisions about budgeting, staffing, and infrastructure development.

Enhanced Research Capabilities for Teachers and Students: In the realm of academia, research is a cornerstone of progress. AI has revolutionized the research process in several ways. Natural Language Processing (NLP) algorithms aid researchers in sifting through vast volumes of literature to find relevant sources quickly. AI can generate summaries, identify trends, and even suggest potential research areas based on existing knowledge. Furthermore, AI-powered simulations and modelling tools enable researchers to evaluate hypotheses and analyse complex data more efficiently, accelerating the pace of scientific discovery.

Expanding Interdisciplinary and Global Academic Collaboration with AI: AI has increasingly become interdisciplinary, with researchers in fields such as computer science, neuroscience, psychology, linguistics,

and more working together. As AI technologies advance, academia will see greater collaboration between these disciplines to address the broader challenges of AI. AI facilitates global collaboration among researchers, educators, and learners. Through AI-powered translation, video conferencing, and collaborative tools, academics from different corners of the world can work together seamlessly. This interconnectedness leads to the exchange of ideas, cross-cultural perspectives, and the acceleration of global research efforts.

Accessibility and Inclusivity: AI technologies are proving to be making education more reachable and inclusive. For students with forms of disabilities, AI-driven technologies can provide assistant and real-time support, such as speech recognition and text-to-speech conversion. These technologies and tools make sure that all students are able to participate fully and contribute to the learning process, irrespective and in spite of their disabilities and limitations. Additionally, AI-driven translation products are breaking down language hurdles and enabling diverse students from different settings to use educational material in their preferred languages.

Quality Assurance: AI can significantly contribute to maintaining and improving the quality of education. It can be employed to assess the effectiveness of teaching methods and curricula through data analysis and feedback collection. This continuous feedback loop allows institutions to make data-driven improvements and ensure that the education they provide remains relevant and effective.

Ethical and Social Implications with AI: While the impact of AI in academia is mostly positive, there are some grave ethical concerns. Issues related to privacy of personal and unauthorized data, algorithmic bias, and the apprehension regarding job security among educators must be carefully understood and addressed. Academic institutions must prioritize transparency, fairness, and responsible AI usage to mitigate these challenges. Academia must also be at the forefront of addressing these implications of AI. Recommendations to guide the responsible development and deployment of AI technologies will be studied.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors of this research acknowledge the help, support, and encouragement received from the management, team members, and the faculty at the respective universities they are associated with, during the continuing work in this important education space and the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

[1]. Canales, A. (2023). ChatGPT is here to Stay. Testing and Curriculum Must Adapt for Students to Succeed. American Education News Source. https://www.the74million.org/article/chatgpt-is-here-to-stay-testing-curriculum-must-adapt-for-students-to-succeed/

[2]. Mollick, E., and Mollick, L. (2023). Assigning AI: Seven approaches for students, with prompts. *ArXiv*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.10052

[3]. Cheating is a Personal Foul (1999). The Educational Testing Service/Ad Council Campaign to Discourage Academic http://www.glass-castle.com/clients/www-nocheating-org/adcouncil/research/cheatingfactsheet.html

[4]. The Conversation. Academic Rigor, Journalistic Flairhttps://theconversation.com/students-cheat-for-good-grades-why-not-make-the-classroom-about-learning-and-not-testing-395.

[5]. Eight Ways to Prevent Students from Cheating with AI (2023). Cengage. https://blog.cengage.com/8-ways-to-prevent-students-from-cheating-with-ai/#:~:text=Open%2Dended%20questions%2C%20in%20particular,reduce%20cheating%20through%20AI%20tools.

[6]. Lederman, D. (2020). Best Way to Stop Cheating in Online Courses? 'Teach Better." Inside Higher Education, Tech and Innovation Teaching and Learning. https://www.insidehighered.com/digital-learning/article/2020/07/22/technology-best-way-stop-online-cheating-no-experts-say-better.

[7]. Rettinger, D. A., Gallant, T. B. (2022). Cheating Academic Integrity: Lessons from 30 Years of Research, 1st Edition. Jossey-Bass, A Wilet Brand. ISBN-13: 978-1119868170

[8]. Ghapanchi, A. (2023). "How generative AI like ChatGPT is pushing assessment reform." Times Higher Education and Inside Higher Education. Victoria University, Australia. Will ChatGPT affect university assessment? | THE Campus Learn, Share, Connect (timeshighereducation.com). https://www.timeshighereducation.com/campus/how-generative-ai-chatgpt-pushing-assessment-reform.

[9]. Baylor University (2023). Provided by the Provost's Office --Revised December 11, 2023, Modified 1-5-24. Office of Academic Integrity. https://honorcode.web.baylor.edu/academic-integrity-office/faculty-resources/suggestions-dealing-artificial-intelligence-ai-produced#:~:text=Require%20a%20combination%20of%20in,what%20they%20are%20turning%20in.

[10]. University of British Columbia, Demonstrating Knowledge. Provided by the Provost's Office Designing Assessments that Cultivate Integrity. https://academicintegrity.ubc.ca/teaching-and-learning/demonstrating-knowledge/
[11]. Google Workspace. Changes and Revisions Overview. Changes and revisions overview | Google Drive | Google for Developers
[12]. Nolan, B. (2023). College Professors are Considering Creative Ways to Stop Students from Using AI to Cheat. Business Insider. https://www.businessinsider.com/ai-chatgpt-college-professors-students-cheating-2023-1.
[13]. Voice of America (VOA) Learning English (2023). Educators Seek to Stop AI Use for Cheating. The Associated Press reported this story. Dominic Varela adapted the report. Educators Seek to Stop AI Use for Cheating (voanews.com)
[14]. University of British Columbia, AI in Teaching and Learning. Centre for Teaching, Learning, and Technology, Irving K. Barber Learning Centre. Assignment and Assessment Design Using Generative AI - AI In Teaching and Learning (ubc.ca)
[15]. Bishop, C. M. (2011), Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning (Information Science and Statistics. ISBN-13: 978-038731073. Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning (Information Science and Statistics): Bishop, Christopher M.: 9781493938438: Amazon.com: Books
[16]. Goodfellow, I. Bengio, Y., Courville, A. (2016). MIT Press Book. Deep Learning (deeplearningbook.org). Deep Learning Ian Goodfellow, Yoshua Bengio, And Aaron Courville | eBay.
[17]. Scikit-learn developers (BSD License) © Copyright 2007 - 2024, scikit-learn: machine learning in Python — scikit-learn 1.5.1 documentation.
[18]. Aurélien Géron. Tensorflow – Criteo AI Lab. (2019) Tensorflow with Aurélien Géron - Criteo AI Lab - YouTube. Tensorflow with Aurélien Géron - Criteo AI Lab
[19]. Coeckelbergh, M. (2020). AI Ethics, MIT Press. (PDF) AI Ethics | Mark Coeckelbergh - Academia.edu
[20]. Michell, M. (2019). Artificial Intelligence: A guide for Thinking Humans. Publisher: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, First Edition. ISBN-13: 978-0374257835. Artificial Intelligence: A Guide for Thinking Humans: Mitchell, Melanie: 9780374257835: Amazon.com: Books
[21]. Ameisen, E. (2020). Building Machine Learning Powered Applications: Going from Idea to Product: Ameisen, Emmanuel: 9781492045113: Amazon.com: Books. ISBN-13: 978-1492045113. O'Reilly Media, Edition 1.
[22]. Raschka, S. (2015). Python Machine Learning, 1st Edition. Packt Publishing. ISBN-13: 978-1783555130. Python Machine Learning, 1st Edition: Raschka, Sebastian: 9781783555130: Amazon.com: Books
[23]. Salehi, P., Ba, Y., Kim, N., et.al. (2024). Towards Trustworthy AI-Enabled Decision Support Systems: Validation of the Multisource AI Scorecard Table (MAST). Vol.80 1311-1341. Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research (jair.org)
[24]. Schmidt, R., Lee, T. (2011), Motor Control and Learning: A Behavioral Emphasis. Publisher: Human Kinetics, 5th Edition. ISBN-13: 978-0736079617. Amazon.com: Motor Control and Learning: A Behavioral Emphasis: 9780736079617: Schmidt, Richard, Lee, Tim: Books
[25]. Ng, A. (2019). Machine Learning Yearning, Kindle Edition 2019. Machine Learning Yearning by Andrew Ng | Goodreads

Corresponding Author: Bhaskar Sinha
National University, San Diego, California, U.S.A. **ISSN 0158-7919 (print); 1475-0198 (online)/05/010127–22**